

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,511	12/16/2005	Kazuhiko Morisawa	09792909-6069	2225	
26263 SONNENSCH	7590 04/28/200 IEIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061	080	PRITCHETT	PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
WACKER DR CHICAGO, IL	IVE STATION, SEAR . 60606-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
emerios, in	7 00000 1000		2872		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,511	MORISAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT	2872	

	JOSHUA E. PRITCHETT	2012					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 M he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	-				
7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \(\subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an e how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-18.							
Claim(s) rejected: 13-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary are							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\subseteq The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/Joshua L Pritchett/						
	Primary Examiner						
	Art Unit: 2872						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues Russell is not concerned with blocking red, green or blue light but instead blocks only infrared light. The examiner understands red light to be light within the wavelength range of 650-750 mm. Russell shows in Fig. 5 a transmission of less than 50% of light with a wavelength longer than 700 mm. Examiner interprets transmission below 50% to be blocking and 700 nm to be within the red light spectrum. Therefore the Russell reference teaches blocking red light. Fig. 17 of Russell shows similar blocking or feel light and even lower transmission values.